arXiv:1511.00156v1 [math.GT] 31 Oct 2015

CLASSIFICATION OF LINKS UP TO 0-SOLVABILITY

TAYLOR E. MARTIN

Abstract. The n-solvable filtration of the m-component smooth (string) link concordance group,

$$\cdots \subset \mathcal{F}^m_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{F}^m_{n.5} \subset \mathcal{F}^m_n \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}^m_1 \subset \mathcal{F}^m_{0.5} \subset \mathcal{F}^m_0 \subset \mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5} \subset \mathcal{C}^m,$$

as defined by Cochran, Orr, and Teichner, is a tool for studying smooth knot and link concordance that yields important results in low-dimensional topology. The focus of this paper is to give a characterization of the set of 0-solvable links. We introduce a new equivalence relation on links called 0-solve equivalence and establish both an algebraic and a geometric classification of $\mathbb{L}^m_0$, the set of links up to 0-solve equivalence. We show that $\mathbb{L}^m_0$ has a group structure isomorphic to the quotient $\mathcal{F}_{-0.5}/\mathcal{F}_0$ of concordance classes of string links and classify this group, showing that

$$\mathbb{L}^m_0 \cong \mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5}/\mathcal{F}^m_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}^m_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{m}{3}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{\binom{m}{2}}.$$

Finally, using results of Conant, Schneiderman, and Teichner, we show that 0-solvable links are precisely the links that bound class 2 gropes and support order 2 Whitney towers in the 4-ball.

1. Introduction

Many open problems in low-dimensional topology center around the study of 4-manifolds. In the smooth category, even compact simply-connected 4-manifolds are unclassified. In the 1950's, Fox and Milnor introduced the notion of *concordance classes* of links. Link concordance is a 4-dimensional equivalence relation on links in S^3; studying link concordance can contribute greatly to the understanding of 4-manifolds. In 1966, Fox and Milnor showed that concordance classes of knots form an abelian group, called the *knot concordance group*, $\mathcal{C}$. The knot concordance group has been well-studied since its introduction, but its structure is complicated and remains largely unknown. Here, we study the more general *(string) link concordance group*, $\mathcal{C}^m$, where m is the number of link components; when $m = 1$, this is the knot concordance group.

Cochran, Orr, and Teichner introduced the notion of *n-solvability* of ordered, oriented links in 2003 [7]. This definition can be extended to string links; a string link is n-solvable if and only if its closure is an n-solvable link. They introduced the *n-solvable filtration* $\mathcal{F}^m_n, n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$, which is an infinite sequence of nested subgroups of $\mathcal{C}^m$, as a tool for investigating the structure of $\mathcal{C}^m$ [7]. The n-solvable filtration can be thought of as an algebraic approximation to a link being slice.

While the n-solvable filtration of $\mathcal{C}^m$ has been studied since its inception, many of the existing results discuss quotients of the filtration. For example, Harvey shows that the quotients $\mathcal{F}^m_n/\mathcal{F}^m_{n+1}$ contain an infinitely generated subgroup [12]. Cochran and Harvey showed that the quotients $\mathcal{F}^m_n/\mathcal{F}^m_{n.5}$ contain an infinitely generated

Date: October 30, 2015.

subgroup [5]. Cochran, Harvey, and Leidy [6] and Cha [2] have made notable contributions in this area.

In their seminal work on the n-solvable filtration, Cochran, Orr, and Teichner classified 0-solvable knots; they showed that a knot is 0-solvable if and only if it has Arf invariant zero. As a corollary of the main result of this paper, we provide a parallel characterization of when a link is 0-solvable.

Let $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K'_1 \cup \ldots \cup K'_m$ be ordered, oriented, m-component links such that the pairwise linking numbers $lk(K_i, K_j)$ and $lk(K'_i, K'_j)$ vanish. We call this set of links $\mathcal{L}^m$. We will establish an equivalence relation $\sim_0$ on $\mathcal{L}^m$ called 0-solve equivalence, and we will classify the set $\mathbb{L}_0^m := \mathcal{L}^m/\sim_0$ of links up to 0-solve equivalence. We will show that 0-solve equivalent links L and L' must have three specific algebraic link invariants in common. Two of these invariants are the Milnor's invariants $\bar{\mu}(ijk)$ and $\bar{\mu}(iijj)$. Milnor's family of concordance invariants measures algebraic "higher-order linking" among link components. The other algebraic invariant we will consider is the Arf invariant. Defined for knots, the Arf invariant is an algebraic $\mathbb{Z}_2$-valued concordance invariant that is computable by a variety of methods. We will also study a geometric move on link diagrams called the band-pass move. Band-pass equivalence is a geometric equivalence relation on links, and we will see that we can use band-pass equivalence to study 0-solve equivalence. We establish a relationship among these conditions.

Theorem 1. For two ordered, oriented m-component links $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K'_1 \cup \ldots \cup K'_m$ with vanishing pairwise linking numbers, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) L and L' are 0-solve equivalent,
(2) L and L' are band-pass equivalent,
(3) $\text{Arf}(K_i) = \text{Arf}(K'_i)$
$\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$
$\bar{\mu}_L(iijj) \equiv \bar{\mu}_{L'}(iijj) \pmod 2$ for all $i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.

As a corollary, we characterize when a link is 0-solvable both algebraically and geometrically.

Corollary 1. For an ordered, oriented m-component link $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$, the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) L is 0-solvable,
(2) L is band-pass equivalent to the m-component unlink,
(3) $\text{Arf}(K_i) = 0$
$\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = 0$
$\bar{\mu}_L(iijj) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$.

Using the algebraic characterization in Theorem 1, we can then classify the set $\mathbb{L}_0^m$ of links up to 0-solve equivalence by giving an algorithm for choosing representatives of each 0-solve equivalence class of links. Furthermore, we show that under band sum, $\mathbb{L}_0^m$ has a group structure isomorphic to the string link quotient group $\frac{\mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5}}{\mathcal{F}^m_0}$.

Corollary 2. The set $\mathbb{L}_0^m = \mathcal{L}^m/\sim_0$ forms an abelian group under band sum such that, for each m, the natural map $\frac{\mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5}}{\mathcal{F}^m_0} \to \mathbb{L}_0^m$ induces an isomorphism, and

$$\mathbb{L}_0^m \cong \frac{\mathcal{F}_{-0.5}^m}{\mathcal{F}_0^m} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{m}{3}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{\binom{m}{2}}$$

We then use the work of Conant, Schneiderman, and Teichner to broaden the scope of Theorem 1 to include applications to the study of gropes and Whitney towers. Gropes and Whitney towers are geometric objects that are used in the study of 4-manifolds, and are defined in section 5. Our algebraic characterization of 0-solve equivalence gives a relationship between 0-solvable links, links bounding gropes, and links supporting Whitney towers.

Corollary 3. For an ordered, oriented, m-component link L, the following are equivalent.

(1) L is 0-solvable.
(2) L bounds disjoint, properly embedded gropes of class 2 in $\mathcal{B}^4$.
(3) L bounds properly immersed disks admitting an order 2 Whitney tower in $\mathcal{B}^4$.

2. Preliminaries

An m-component *link* is an embedding $f : \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S^1 \to S^3$ of m disjoint circles into the three sphere. We will consider links up to smooth isotopy, and we will denote an ordered link by $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$, where we will assume each link component to be oriented. Two ordered, oriented m-component links, $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K_1' \cup \ldots \cup K_m'$, are *concordant* if they cobound m disjoint, smoothly embedded annuli in $S^3 \times [0,1]$. This is to say, L and L' are concordant if there exists a smooth embedding, $f : \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S^1 \times [0,1] \to S^3 \times [0,1]$, such that $f(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S^1 \times \{0\}) = L \times \{0\}$ and $f(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^m S^1 \times \{1\}) = L' \times \{1\}$. Links which are concordant to the unlink are called *slice* links.



Figure 1. Link Concordance

In the case of knots, which we may regard as one component links, the concordance classes of knots form an abelian group under the operation of connected sum. This well-studied group is known as the knot concordance group, $\mathcal{C}$. In trying to assign a group structure on the concordance classes of oriented links, we quickly see that the operation of connected sum is not well-defined. However, this problem can be avoided by considering concordance classes of m-component *string links*, which do form a group under the operation of stacking. An m-component (pure) n - *string link* D, as defined by LeDimet in [15], is a proper, oriented submanifold of $\mathbb{D}^{n+2}$ that is homeomorphic to m disjoint copies of $\mathbb{D}^n$, $\{\mathbb{D}_i^n\}_{i=1}^m$, such that $D \cap \partial\mathbb{D}^{n+2} = \partial D$ is the standard trivial $(n-1)$-link U^{n-1}. We are only concerned with m-component

1-string links. These can be viewed as a generalization of an m-strand pure braid where we allow the strands to knot. Given any m-component string link D, we form the *closure* of D, denoted $\hat{D}$, by gluing the standard m-component trivial string link to D along its boundary.



FIGURE 2. A 3-component string link D and its closure $\hat{D}$

As a result of Habegger and Lin, we can also form a string link from any link in S^3 by cutting along a carefully chosen ball [11].

We can define a *concordance* between two m-component string links D and D'. We say that D and D' are *concordant* if there exists a proper smooth submanifold C of $\mathbb{D}^3 \times [0,1]$ such that C is homeomorphic to m disjoint copies of $\mathbb{D}^1 \times [0,1]$, where $C \cap (\mathbb{D}^3 \times \{0\}) = D$, $C \cap (\mathbb{D}^3 \times \{1\}) = D'$, and $C \cap (S^2 \times [0,1]) = U^0 \times [0,1]$. Using this definition, we see that there is a natural extension of C that gives a link concordance between the closures $\hat{D}$ and $\hat{D'}$. See [18] for detailed definitions of string link concordance.

As mentioned before, unlike links, string links have a natural operation known as *stacking*. The set of concordance classes of m-component string links under stacking form a group $\mathcal{C}^m$, called the *string link concordance group* [15]. Furthermore, there is a group action on $\mathcal{C}^m$ such that, under closure, the orbits correspond to concordance classes of links [10]. In the case of $m = 1$, the group $\mathcal{C}^1$ corresponds exactly to the knot concordance group. For the case $m \geq 2$, it is well known that $\mathcal{C}^m$ is not abelian.

The link concordance group $\mathcal{C}^m$ is an infinitely generated group. One tool to study the structure of this group, defined by Cochran, Orr, and Teichner [7], is the *n-solvable filtration*, $\{\mathcal{F}_n^m\}$:

$$\{0\} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^m \subset \mathcal{F}_{n.5}^m \subset \mathcal{F}_n^m \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_1^m \subset \mathcal{F}_{0.5}^m \subset \mathcal{F}_0^m \subset \mathcal{C}^m.$$

Recall that a *filtration* of a group is a nested sequence of subgroups. The n-solvable filtration is indexed by the set $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$. For $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{F}_k^m$ is the collection of *k-solvable* m-component links. It is important to note that the concordance class of m-component slice links is n-solvable for any n. In this way, the n-solvable filtration can be thought to "approximate" sliceness for links. We will focus on the first stage of this filtration, $\mathcal{F}_0^m$, the class of 0-solvable m-component string links, and its counterpart for links, $\mathcal{L}_0^m$, the set of m-component, 0-solvable links.

Definition 1. We say that an m-component link $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ is 0-*solvable* if the manifold M_L obtained from performing 0-framed surgery on L in S^3 bounds a compact, smooth 4-manifold W under the following conditions:

(1) $H_1(M_L) \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$, and the map induced by inclusion, $i_* : H_1(M_L) \to H_1(W)$ is an isomorphism on the first homology.
(2) $H_2(W)$ has a basis consisting of compact, connected, embedded, oriented surfaces $\{L_i, D_i\}_{i=1}^r$ with trivial normal bundles, such that L_i intersects D_i transversely, exactly once, with positive sign, and otherwise, the surfaces are disjoint.

The 4-manifold W is called an 0-*solution* for L. A string link is 0-solvable if and only if its closure is a 0-solvable link.

Note that in order for a link L to be 0-solvable, it is necessary that $H_1(M_L) \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$. This is true if and only if the pairwise linking numbers between components of L vanish. Therefore, we will only consider links $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ such that $lk(K_i, K_j) = 0$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. For convenience, we will denote this set of links by $\mathcal{L}^m$, and we will denote the collection of concordance classes of m-component string links with vanishing pairwise linking numbers as $\mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5} \subset \mathcal{C}^m$. It is easy to show that, if a link L is 0-solvable, any sublink of L must also be 0-solvable.

In the 1950's, Milnor defined a classical family of link invariants called $\bar{\mu}$-invariants [16], [17]. These algebraic invariants are denoted $\bar{\mu}_L(I)$, where $I = i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k$ is a word of length k and $i_j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ refers to the j^{th} link component of L. The integer k is called the *length* of the Milnor invariant. Milnor's invariants are not, strictly speaking, link invariants; they have some indeterminacy resulting from the choice of meridians of the link. However, Habegger and Lin show that this indeterminacy corresponds exactly to the choice of ways of representing a link as the closure of a string link [11]. As such, Milnor's invariants are string link invariants whenever they are well defined. Furthermore, Milnor's invariants are concordance invariants, which makes them a useful tool in studying the link concordance group [1]. We will use two specific Milnor's invariants, $\bar{\mu}_L(iijj)$ and $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk)$, both of which are always well defined on the set $\mathcal{L}^m$. There are many ways of defining Milnor's invariants, but these specific two invariants can be thought of as higher order cup products. We give their definitions geometrically.

The Milnor's invariants $\bar{\mu}_L(iijj)$ for a link $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ are also known as Sato-Levine invariants. Every 2-component sublink $K_i \cup K_j$ gives a Sato-Levine invariant $\bar{\mu}_L(iijj)$. We can compute this invariant by considering oriented Seifert surfaces Σ_i and Σ_j for K_i and K_j in the link exterior $S^3 - N(L)$. We may choose these surfaces in such a way that $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j = \gamma \cong S^1$ [4]. Then, we push the curve γ off of one of the surfaces Σ_i in the positive normal direction to obtain a new curve γ^+. We define the Sato-Levine invariant to be $lk(\gamma, \gamma^+)$. This is a well-defined link invariant provided that the pairwise linking numbers of L all vanish, and it is equal to the Milnor's invariant $\bar{\mu}_L(iijj)$ [4].

The Milnor's invariants $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk)$ for a link $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ also have a geometric definition [4]. Consider the sublink $J = K_i \cup K_j \cup K_k \subset L$ and let Σ_i, Σ_j, and Σ_k be oriented Seifert surfaces for K_i, K_j, and K_k. The intersection $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j \cap \Sigma_k$ is a collection of points which are given an orientation induced by the outward normal on each Seifert surface. The count of these points up to sign gives us $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk)$ [4].

In order to classify 0-solvable links, we will employ two types of pass-moves on links. These are geometric moves performed locally on an oriented link diagram; coupled with isotopy, these moves each generate an equivalence relation on links.



FIGURE 3. Computing $\bar{\mu}_L(iijj)$



FIGURE 4. Computing $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk)$

Definition 2. A *band-pass move* on a link $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ is the local move pictured in figure 5. We require that both strands of each band belong to the same link component. Links L and L' are *band-pass equivalent* if L can be transformed into L' through a finite sequence of band-pass moves and isotopy.



FIGURE 5. A band-pass move

Definition 3. A *clasp-pass move* on a link L is the local move in figure 6. Links L and L' are *clasp-pass equivalent* if L can be transformed into L' through a finite sequence of clasp-pass moves and isotopy. Note that a clasp-pass move is a band-pass move, though the converse is not necessarily true.



FIGURE 6. A clasp-pass move

3. 0-Solve Equivalence

In this section, we define a new equivalence relation on the concordance classes of links called *0-solve equivalence*, but first must establish several definitions. For an orientable 4-manifold W^4, a *spin structure* on W is a choice of trivialization of the tangent bundle T_W over the 1-skeleton of W that can be extended over the 2-skeleton. A manifold endowed with a spin structure is called a *spin manifold*. It is known that W admits a spin structure if and only if the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(W) = 0$. It is a result of Wu's that, for W a smooth, closed 4-manifold such that $H_1(W)$ has no 2-torsion, W is spin if and only if the intersection form Q_W on W is even (see [20] section 4.3).

We will define a notion of the *closure* of a cobordism between zero surgeries that will be suitable to our needs.

Definition 4. Suppose that W is a 4-manifold such that $\partial W = M_L \bigsqcup -M_{L'}$, where L and L' are links. We define the *closure* of W, which we denote $\hat{W}$, to be the closed 4-manifold that is obtained from W by first attaching a 0-framed 2-handle to each meridinal curve in both M_L and $M_{L'}$. This results in a 4-manifold with boundary $S^3 \bigsqcup -S^3$, as depicted in figure 7.



FIGURE 7. Closing off W by attaching 2-handles along meridinal curves

Now, we can attach a 4-handle to the S^3 boundary component and a 4-handle to the $-S^3$ boundary component, and we have formed the closed 4-manifold which we will call $\hat{W}$, as pictured in figure 8.

We give a new equivalence relation on m-component links called *0-solve equivalence*.



FIGURE 8. The closure of a 0-solve equivalence

Definition 5. Two ordered, oriented m-component links $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K_1' \cup \ldots \cup K_m'$ with vanishing pairwise linking numbers are *0-solve equivalent* if there exists a 4-manifold W with $\partial W = M_L \bigsqcup -M_{L'}$ such that the following conditions hold:

(1) The maps $i_* : H_1(M_L) \to H_1(W)$ and $j_* : H_1(-M_{L'}) \to H_1(W)$ induced by inclusion are isomorphisms such that $i_*(\mu_i) = j_*(\mu_i')$, where μ_i denotes the meridian of the i^{th} link component.
(2) $\mathbb{Z}^{2r} \cong H_2(W, \partial W_-)$, where $\partial W_- = -M_{L'}$ and $\partial W_+ = M_L$, has a basis consisting of oriented, embedded, connected pairs of surfaces $\{L_i, D_i\}_{i=1}^r$ with trivial normal bundles such that the surfaces are disjoint, with the exception that, for each i, L_i and D_i intersect transversely at exactly one point, oriented with positive direction.
(3) $\hat{W}$ is a spin 4-manifold.

This manifold W is called a *0-solve equivalence* between L and L'.

Consider the long exact sequence of the pair $(W, \partial W_-)$ given below:

$$\cdots \to H_3(W, \partial W_-) \to H_2(\partial W_-) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_2(W) \twoheadrightarrow H_2(W, \partial W_-) \xrightarrow{0} H_1(\partial W_-) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(W) \to \ldots$$

Note that the map induced by inclusion, $j_* : H_1(\partial W_-) \to H_1(W)$ is an isomorphism and that $H_3(W, \partial W_-) \cong H^1(W, \partial W_+) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(W, \partial W_+), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. We refer to $j_*(H_2(\partial W_-))$ simply by $H_2(\partial W_-)$. From the short exact sequence obtained from the above long exact sequence, $H_2(W) \cong H_2(W, \partial W_-) \oplus H_2(\partial W_-)$. Therefore, for a 0-solve equivalence W,

$$\frac{H_2(W)}{H_2(\partial W_-)} \cong H_2(W, \partial W_-).$$

Furthermore, this short exact sequence splits, as $H_1(\partial W_-)$ is free abelian.

Proposition 1. *0-solve equivalence in an equivalence relation on links.*

Proof. (1) To show reflexitivity, for an ordered, oriented link L, we consider the 4-manifold $W = M_L \times [0, 1]$, which we will show to be a 0-solve equivalence

between L and itself. The first two conditions of 0-solve equivalence are trivial. We wish to show that the closure $\hat{W}$ has an even intersection form, and is therefore spin. The generators of $H_2(\hat{W})$ are $\{\hat{\Sigma}_i, F_i\}_{i=1}^m$, where $\Sigma_i \subset S^3 - N(L) \subset M_L$ is a Seifert surface for link component K_i, $\hat{\Sigma}_i$ is the closed surface $\Sigma_i \cup_{\ell_i} \mathbb{D}^2$ where ℓ_i is the 0-framing on K_i, and F_i is the core of the i^{th} added 2-handles attached to $\mu_i \times [0,1] \subset M_L \times [0,1]$. Each generator $\hat{\Sigma}_i$ and F_i has self-intersection zero. Thus, the intersection form $Q_{\hat{W}}$ is even, and so $\hat{W}$ is spin.

(2) To show symmetry, let W be a 0-solve equivalence between L and L'. We reverse orientation on W to obtain W', where $\partial W'_- = -M_L$ and $\partial W'_+ = M_{L'}$. We wish to show that W' is a 0-solve equivalence between L' and L. The first condition on the inclusion maps inducing isomorphisms on first homology is given, as W is a 0-solve equivalence.

We consider the long exact sequence of the pair $(W, \partial W_+)$, coupled with the fact that $H_3(W, \partial W_+) \cong H^1(W, \partial W_-) = 0$.

$$\to H_3(W, \partial W_+) \to H_2(\partial W_+) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_2(W) \to H_2(W, \partial W_+) \xrightarrow{0} H_1(\partial W_+) \xrightarrow{\cong}$$

From this portion of the sequence, we see that

$$H_2(W', \partial W'_-) \cong H_2(W, \partial W_+) \cong \frac{H_2(W)}{i_*(H_2(\partial W_+))}$$

It will be relevant to note that the short exact sequence obtained from this portion of the long exact sequence splits, as $H_2(W, \partial W_+)$ is free abelian. We have a similar exact sequence for the pair $(W, \partial W_-)$,

$$\to H_3(W, \partial W_-) \to H_2(\partial W_-) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_2(W) \twoheadrightarrow H_2(W, \partial W_-) \xrightarrow{0} H_1(\partial W_-) \xrightarrow{\cong}$$

From this portion of the sequence, we see that

$$H_2(W, \partial W_-) \cong \frac{H_2(W)}{j_*(H_2(\partial W_-))}$$

It will be relevant to note that the short exact sequence obtained from this portion of the long exact sequence splits, as $H_2(W, \partial W_-)$ is free abelian. Also note that $H_2(\partial W_+) \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$ is a free abelian group. Its basis elements are described as follows. We choose Seifert surfaces $\{\Sigma_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset S^3 - L$ for link components K_i with agreeing orientation. Let $\hat{\Sigma}_i$ be a closed surface in ∂W_+ defined by adding a disk to the longitude of Σ_i. Similarly, $H_2(\partial W_-) \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$ is a free abelian group on basis $\{[\Sigma'_i]\}_{i=1}^m$, where $\Sigma'_i \subset S^3 - L'$ is a Seifert surface for link component K'_i with agreeing orientation. Again, $\hat{\Sigma'}_i$ is a closed surface in ∂W_- defined by adding a disk to the longitude of and Σ'_i. Note that these basis elements may be chosen to have self-intersection 0 and to be disjoint from $\{L_i, D_i\}$, the basis elements of $H_2(W, \partial W_-)$.

Since $i_*([\hat{\Sigma}_i]) = j_*([\hat{\Sigma'_i}])$, we see that

$$H_2(W', \partial W'_-) \cong \frac{H_2(W)}{i_*(H_2(\partial W_+))} \cong \frac{H_2(W)}{j_*(H_2(\partial W_-))} \cong H_2(W, \partial W_-).$$

Thus, $H_2(W', \partial W'_-)$ has the desired basis. Lastly, we observe that $\hat{W'}$ is $\hat{W}$ with oppositie orientation. As $\hat{W}$ is spin, so is $\hat{W'}$.

(3) To show transitivity, let W be a 0-solve equivalence between links L and L' and let W' be a 0-solve equivalence between links L' and L''. Let $V = W \cup_{M_{L'}} W'$. We wish to show that V is a 0-solve equivalence between L and L''. The first condition of 0-solve equivalence follows from the fact that W and W' are 0-solve equivalences. For the second condition of 0-solve equivalence, we consider a Mayer-Vietoris sequence for V,

$$\to H_3(V) \to H_2(W \cap W') \overset{(i_*,-j_*)}{\to} H_2(W) \oplus H_2(W') \twoheadrightarrow H_2(V) \overset{0}{\to} H_1(W \cap W') \to .$$

This tells us that

$$H_2(V) \cong \frac{H_2(W) \oplus H_2(W')}{(i_*, -j_*)(H_2(W \cap W'))}.$$

Using the splittings $H_2(W) \cong H_2(W, \partial W_-) \oplus H_2(\partial W_-)$ and $H_2(W') \cong H_2(W', \partial W'_+) \oplus H_2(\partial W'_+)$, we observe that the induced map $(i_*, -j_*) : H_2(W \cap W') \to H_2(W) \oplus H_2(W')$ acts by $(i_*, -j_*)(a) = ((0, a), (0, -a))$. From this, we deduce that $H_2(V) \cong H_2(W, \partial W_-) \oplus H_2(W')$.

Next, we consider the long exact sequence of the pair $(V, \partial V_-)$.

$$\to H_3(V, \partial V_-) \to H_2(\partial V_-) \to H_2(V) \twoheadrightarrow H_2(V, \partial V_-) \overset{0}{\to} H_1(\partial V_-) \overset{\cong}{\to} H_1(V) \to .$$

This tells us that $H_2(V, \partial V_-) \cong \frac{H_2(V)}{i_*(H_2(\partial V_-))}$. Coupled with the splitting $H_2(W') \cong H_2(W', \partial W'_-) \oplus H_2(\partial W'_-)$ and the fact that $\partial V_- = \partial W'_-$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(V, \partial V_-) &\cong \frac{H_2(W, \partial W_-) \oplus H_2(W', \partial W'_-) \oplus H_2(\partial W'_-)}{i_*(H_2(\partial V_-))} \\ &\cong \frac{H_2(W, \partial W_-) \oplus H_2(W', \partial W'_-) \oplus H_2(\partial W'_-)}{H_2(\partial W'_-))} \\ &\cong H_2(W, \partial W_-) \oplus H_2(W', \partial W'_-). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $H_2(V, \partial V_-)$ has the desired basis.

Finally, we must show that $\hat{V}$ is a spin manifold. We show that the basis elements of $H_2(\hat{V})$ have even self-intersection.

We know that the closures $\hat{W}$ and $\hat{W}'$ are spin manifolds. The generators of $H_2(\hat{W})$ are the surfaces $\{L_i, D_i\}$ with self-intersection zero, capped Seifert surfaces for each link component, $\{\hat{\Sigma}_i\}$, and capped surfaces $\{\hat{S}_i\}$, where S_i is a surface in W realizing the null-homology between μ_i and μ'_i. Similarly, the generators of $H_2(\hat{W}')$ are the surfaces $\{L'_i, D'_i\}$ with self-intersection zero, capped Seifert surfaces for each link component, $\{\hat{\Sigma}'_i\}$, with self-intersection zero, and capped surfaces $\{\hat{S}'_i\}$, where S'_i is a surface in W' realizing the null-homology between μ'_i and μ''_i. Then, the basis elements for $H_2(\hat{V})$ are the surfaces $\{L_i, D_i\}, \{L'_i, D'_i\}, \{\hat{\Sigma}'_i\}$, and the capped surfaces $\{\hat{T}_i\}$, where $T_i = S_i \cup_{\mu'_i} S'_i$. Since the caps have no self-intersection, the self intersection $\hat{T}_i \cdot \hat{T}_i = S_i \cdot S_i + S'_i \cdot S'_i$, but because $\hat{W}$ and $\hat{W}'$ are spin, $S_i \cdot S_i$ and $S'_i \cdot S'_i$ are both even. Therefore, $\hat{V}$ has even intersection form and is spin.

□

Proposition 2. *If $L \sim_0 L'$ via a 0-solve equivalence W, and if V is a 0-solution for L', then L is 0-solvable, and $V' = W \bigsqcup_{M_{L'}} V$ is a 0-solution for L.*



FIGURE 9. A 0-solution for L

Proof. The first condition of 0-solvability, that $i_* : H_1(M_L) \to H_1(V')$ is an isomorphism, follows from the fact that W is a 0-solve equivalence and that V is a 0-solution.

We know that $H_2(V)$ has basis consisting of surfaces $\{L_i, D_i\}_{i=1}^r$ that intersect pairwise once, transversely. We also know that $H_2(W, \partial W_-)$ has basis of surfaces $\{L'_i, D'_i\}_{i=1}^g$ that intersect pairwise once transversely. We wish to show the same about $H_2(V')$. We consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for V'.

$$\to H_3(V') \to H_2(W \cap V) \xrightarrow{i_*, j_*} H_2(W) \oplus H_2(V) \to H_2(V') \xrightarrow{o} H_1(W \cap V) \to .$$

Similarly to in Proposition 1,

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(V') &\cong \frac{H_2(W) \oplus H_2(V)}{(i_*, -j_*)(H_2(W \cap V))} \\ &\cong \frac{H_2(W, \partial W_-) \oplus H_2(\partial W_-)}{(i_*, -j_*)(H_2(W \cap V))} \\ &\cong H_2(W, \partial W_-) \oplus H_2(V). \end{aligned}$$

This tells us that $H_2(V')$ has the proper basis, and so V' is a 0-solution for L. □

Proposition 3. *For $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K'_1 \cup \ldots \cup K'_m$ two m-component 0-solve equivalent links, the corresponding k-component sublinks $J = K_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup K_{i_k}$ and $J' = K'_{i_1} \cup \cdots \cup K'_{i_k}$, where $i_j = i'_j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ are also 0-solve equivalent.*

Proof. Note that, by induction and reordering both links simultaneously, it suffices to show that the sublinks obtained by removing the first component of L and L' are 0-solve equivalent. Let $J = K_2 \cup \cdots \cup K_m$ and $J' = K'_2 \cup \cdots \cup K'_m$.

Let W be the 4-manifold realizing the 0-solve equivalence between L and L'. We form a cobordism between M_J and $M_{J'}$ by attaching two 0-framed 2-handles to ∂W with attaching spheres μ_1 and μ'_1, the meridians of the link components K_1 and K'_1. This yields a new 4-manifold, V, with $\partial V = M_J \bigsqcup -M_{J'}$. We wish to show that V is a 0-solve equivalence between J and J'.

By definition, $i_* : H_1(M_L) \hookrightarrow H_1(W)$ and $j_* : H_1(M_{L'}) \hookrightarrow H_1(W)$ are both isomorphisms. Moreover, $H_1(M_L) \cong < \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m > \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $H_1(M'_L) \cong < \mu'_1, \ldots, \mu'_m > \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$. Considering the effect on homology of attaching a 2-cell and the fact that $i_*(\mu_1) = j_*(\mu'_1)$, we see that the maps induced by inclusion, $i_* : H_1(M_J) \to H_1(V)$ and $j_* : H_1(M_{J'}) \to H_1(V)$ are both isomorphisms, and it still holds that $i_*(\mu_k) = j_*(\mu_k)$ for $k \neq 1$.

We now consider how $H_2(V)$ differs from $H_2(W)$. By attaching a 2-handle to W along the curve μ_1, we do not change second homology, as $< i_*(\mu_1) >$ is infinite in $H_1(W)$. Then, attaching a 2-handle along the curve μ'_1 creates an infinite cyclic direct summand in $H_2(V)$ generated by B, the oriented surface in V obtained by capping off the meridians μ_1 and μ'_1 in W plus a surface in W realizing the null-homology between μ_i and μ'_i.



FIGURE 10. The surface $B \subset V$

Next, we consider how $H_2(V, \partial V_-) \cong \frac{H_2(V)}{H_2(\partial V_-)}$ differs from $H_2(W, \partial W_-) \cong \frac{H_2(W)}{H_2(\partial W_-)}$. Let Σ'_1 be a Seifert surface for L'_1 in $S^3 - N(L') \subset M_{L'}$, and let S'_1 be a closed oriented surface in $M_{L'}$ obtained by capping off Σ'_1 with the disk obtained from performing zero-framed surgery on L'. We notice that $S'_1 \subset \partial W_-$ but S'_1 is not in ∂V_-. From its construction, we can assume that B is an annulus near μ_i and μ'_i; therefore, we can assume that $B \cap M_{L'} = \mu'_1$, and so B intersects S'_1 transversely at precisely one point. After possibly changing the orientation on B, we may assume that $B \cdot S'_1 = +1$.

From Poincare duality, we have an intersection form $H_2(V, \partial V_-) \times H_2(V, \partial V_+) \to \mathbb{Z}$ where $(S'_1, B) \mapsto +1$. Therefore, the class $[S'_1] \in H_2(V, \partial V_-)$ is nontrivial, where S'_1 can be made disjoint from the generators of $H_2(W)$, and so $[S'_1]$ is a generator of $H_2(V, \partial V_-)$.

We have that $H_2(V, \partial V_-) \cong H_2(W, \partial W_-) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ where the extra homology is generated by $\{[B], [S'_1]\}$. We must show that $H_2(V, \partial V_-)$ has generators that intersect as in the definition of 0-solve equivalence.

So far, we have established that the intersection matrix for $H_2(V, \partial V_-)$ looks like:

$$\begin{array}{c|cc|cccc} & S_1' & B & L_1 & D_1 \dots & L_g & D_g \\ S_1' & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \dots & 0 & 0 \\ B & 1 & \star & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \cdots & \gamma_{2g-1} & \gamma_{2g} \\ \hline L_1 & 0 & \gamma_1 & & & & \\ D_1 & 0 & \gamma_2 & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & A & & \\ L_g & 0 & \gamma_{2g-1} & & & & \\ D_g & 0 & \gamma_{2g} & & & & \end{array}$$

Here, A is the intersection matrix for $H_2(W, \partial W_-)$, $\{\gamma_i\}$ are integers representing the intersection of B with the generators of $H_2(W, \partial W_-)$, and $\star$ is an integer representing the self-intersection $B \cdot B$. We first seek to show that, for some choice of B, we have $\star = 0$.

Considering the way we constructed V, we observe that any self-intersection of B must occur in the interior of W. Moreover, B is a surface in $\hat{W}$, the closure of the 0-solve equivalence W. Because $\hat{W}$ is even, we know that $B \cdot B \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. We introduce a change of basis to find a generator B_k that has trivial self-intersection. Suppose that $B \cdot B = 2k$ where $k < 0$. Then, let $S_1'^+$ be a push-off of the surface S_1'. Let α_1 be an arc in V from B to $S_1'^+$ that does not intersect the other generators $\{L_i, D_i\}$ of $H_2(V, \partial V_-)$. Let $N(\alpha_1)$ be a tubular ϵ-neighborhood of α_1, and define a new surface $B_1 = B \cup \partial N(\alpha_1) \cup S_1'^+$; then, in homology, $[B_1] = [B + S_1']$. Note that we can choose the arc so that the orientation on our new surface matches up with the original orientations on B and S_1'. This new surface B_1 also intersects S_1' exactly once transversely, and has self-intersection $B_1 \cdot B_1 = B \cdot B + S_1' \cdot S_1' + 2(B \cdot S_1') = B \cdot B + 2$. Since $B \cdot B = 2k$, by repeating this process k times, we will have a surface B_k that intersects S_1' exactly once and has self intersection zero. Note that, if $B \cdot B = 2k$ where $k > 0$, we would let $-S_1'$ be the surface S_1' with opposite orientation. We would then let α_1 be an arc from B to $-S_1'^+$ and we would then define B_1 as above. Under these conditions, the homology class $[B_1] = [B - S_1']$ and $B_1 \cdot B_1 = B \cdot B + 2(B \cdot -S_1') = B \cdot B - 2$. Therefore, we have that $[B_k] = [B \pm kS_1']$, and we use this change of basis for $H_2(V, \partial V_-)$, replacing B with B_k.

Next, we consider the intersection of B_k with the original generators $\{L_i, D_i\}_{i=1}^g$ of $H_2(W, \partial W_-)$. Suppose that B_k intersects some generator $\Lambda \in \{L_i, D_i\}$ nontrivially. Since Λ can be chosen to be disjoint from S_1', we employ the same methods as above. We take an arc α_1 from Λ to $\pm S_1'$ that doesn't intersect B_k or the other generators and we consider the surface $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda \cup N(\alpha) \cup \pm S_1'^+$. Then, $\Lambda_1 \cdot B_k = \Lambda \cdot B_k \pm 1$.

By repeating this process enough times, we come up with a set of generators $\{S_1', B_k, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_{2g}\}$ of $H_2(V, \partial V_-)$ with the intersection matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



FIGURE 11. The new generator, B_1

□

These surfaces generate $H_2(V, \partial V_-)$ and they have the appropriate algebraic intersections. We can modify these surfaces by tubing to get a set of generators with the desired geometric intersection.

Finally, we note that $\hat{V}$, the closure of V, and $\hat{W}$, the closure of W are the same manifold by construction. Since $\hat{W}$ is spin, then $\hat{V}$ is spin, and so V is a 0-solve equivalence between J and J'.

4. Classification of Links up to 0-Solve Equiavlence

We now can give a classification of links up to 0-solve equivalence. As a corollary, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a link to be 0-solvable. These results are consequences of the following theorem, which relates the condition of 0-solve equivalence to band-pass equivalence and to the and Milnor's invariants.

Theorem 1. *For two ordered, oriented m-component links $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K'_1 \cup \ldots \cup K'_m$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *L and L' are 0-solve equivalent,*
(2) *L and L' are band-pass equivalent,*
(3) $Arf(K_i) = Arf(K'_i)$
$\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$
$\bar{\mu}_L(iijj) \equiv \bar{\mu}_{L'}(iijj) \pmod 2$.

As an immediate corollary to this theorem, if L' is the m-component unlink, we have the following result.

Corollary 1. *For an ordered, oriented m-component link $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *L is 0-solvable,*

(2) *L is band-pass equivalent to the m-component unlink,*
(3) $Arf(K_i) = 0$
$\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = 0$
$\bar{\mu}_L(iijj) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$.

We will prove Theorem 1 by a sequence of lemmas, first showing (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1), then showing that (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3), and finally, that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2).

Lemma 1. *If two ordered, oriented m-component links $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K'_1 \cup \ldots \cup K'_m$ are band-pass equivalent, then L and L' are 0-solve equivalent.*

Proof. Because band-pass equivalence and 0-solve equivalence are both equivalence relations and thus transitive, we may assume that L and L' differ by a single band-pass move. Recall that we require the strands of each band to belong to the same link component.



FIGURE 12. L and L' differ by a band-pass move.

We first consider the 4-manifold $M_L \times [0,1]$. Then, we attach two 0-framed 2-handles to $M_L \times \{1\}$ in the boundary of $M_L \times [0,1]$ along the attaching curves γ_i and γ_j pictured below.



FIGURE 13. Attaching curves in $M_L \times [0,1]$

In the 3-manifold $M_L \times [0,1]$, we slide both strands of link component K_i over the 2-handle attached to γ_j and we slide both strands of link component K_j over the 2-handle attached to γ_i. Note that, in figure 14, the strands and attaching

curves pictured each have a zero surgery coefficient; we perform the handle-slides in the closed manifold M_L.



FIGURE 14. Performing a Band-Pass via Handleslides

The resulting 3-manifold, after sliding all four strands, is $M_{L'}$. Therefore, we consider the 4-manifold $W = M_L \times [0,1] \cup \{\text{two 2-handles}\}$, and we see that W is a cobordism between M_L and $-M_{L'}$. We wish to show that W is a 0-solve equivalence.



FIGURE 15. A cobordism between M_L and $-M_{L'}$

As the attaching curves γ_i and γ_j are null-homologous, attaching the 2-handles has no effect on H_1. Thus, we see that $i_* : H_1(M_{L'}) \to H_1(W)$ and $j_* : H_1(M_L) \to H_1(W)$ are isomorphisms.

Comparing the second homology of W to that of $M_L \times [0,1]$, we see that $H_2(W) \cong H_2(M_L \times [0,1]) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong H_2(M_L) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ with the added homology generated by $\hat{\Lambda}_1$ and $\hat{\Lambda}_2$, where $\hat{\Lambda}_i$ is the surface Λ_i pictured in figure 16 capped off with a 2-cell from the attached 2-handles.



FIGURE 16. New Generators for $H_2(W)$

By pushing the interior of Λ_1 very slightly into $int(M_L \times [0,1]) \subset W$, we can assure that $\hat{\Lambda}_1 \cap \hat{\Lambda}_2 = \{p\}$, where p is a point which lies on one attaching curve. We choose orientations on $\hat{\Lambda}_1$ and $\hat{\Lambda}_2$ to ensure that $\hat{\Lambda}_1 \cdot \hat{\Lambda}_2 = +1$. We can also assure that $\hat{\Lambda}_1$ and $\hat{\Lambda}_2$ are disjoint from the generators of $H_2(M_L \times [0,1])$. Therefore, $H_2(W, \partial W_-) \cong \frac{H_2(W)}{H_2(\partial W_-)}$ is generated by $\{\hat{\Lambda}_1, \hat{\Lambda}_2\}$, which satisfies the second condition of being a 0-solve equivalence.

Finally, we must show that the closure $\hat{W}$ is a spin manifold. We will show that the intersection form $Q_{\hat{W}}$ is even. The generators of $H_2(\hat{W})$ are $\{\hat{\Sigma}_1, \ldots, \hat{\Sigma}_m, F_1, \ldots, F_m, \hat{\Lambda}_1, \hat{\Lambda}_2\}$, where $\hat{\Sigma}_i$ is an oriented Seifert surface for K_i, closed off with a disk in $M_L \times \{0\}$, F_i is the annulus $\mu_i \times [0,1]$ closed off with a disk from the process of closing W, and $\hat{\Lambda}_i$ are the surfaces mentioned above. We can assure that the $\hat{\Lambda}_i$ are disjoint from the F_i, and so the intersection matrix for $Q_{\hat{W}} = \bigoplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

These conditions show that W is a 0-solve equivalence between L and L'.

□

Next, we prove the second step of Theorem 1 using the following three lemmas.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K'_1 \cup \ldots \cup K'_m$ are two ordered, oriented, m-component, 0-solve equivalent links. Then, $Arf(K_i) = Arf(K'_i)$.*

Proof. By Proposition **??**, for all i, K_i and K'_i are 0-solve equivalent knots. By Proposition **??**, either both K_i and K'_i are 0-solvable knots, or neither K_i nor K'_i are 0-solvable knots. If both K_i and K_i are 0-solvable knots, we know that $Arf(K_i) = Arf(K'_i) = 0$. If neither K_i nor K'_i are 0-solvable knots, we know that $Arf(K_i) = Arf(K'_i) = 1$. Either way, we must have that $Arf(K_i) = Arf(K'_i)$. □

Lemma 3. *Suppose that $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K'_1 \cup \ldots \cup K'_m$ are two ordered, oriented, m-component, 0-solve equivalent links. Then, $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$.*

Proof. Consider the sublinks $J = K_i \cup K_j \cup K_k$ and $J' = K'_i \cup K'_j \cup K'_k$ of L and L', respectively. By Proposition **??**, J and J' are 0-solve equivalent links; we wish to show that $\bar{\mu}_J(123) = \bar{\mu}_{J'}(123)$.

Let W be a 4-manifold realizing the 0-solve equivalence between J and J'. From the 0-solve equivalence, we are given that $i_* : H_1(M_J) \to H_1(W)$ and $j_* : H_1(-M_{J'}) \to H_1(W)$ are isomorphisms.

We choose oriented Seifert surfaces $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$ for components K_1, K_2, K_3 in $S^3 - J$ such that the surfaces intersect pairwise in simple closed curves. Similarly, we choose oriented Seifert surfaces $\Sigma_1', \Sigma_2', \Sigma_3'$ for link components K_1', K_2', K_3' in $S^3 - M_{-J'}$ with the same conditions.

In M_J and $M_{J'}$, each Seifert surface Σ_i and Σ_i' is capped off with a disk. Considering each disk with our chosen Seifert surface, we have established closed surfaces $\hat{\Sigma_1}, \hat{\Sigma_2}, \hat{\Sigma_3} \subset M_J$ and $\hat{\Sigma_1'}, \hat{\Sigma_2'}, \hat{\Sigma_3'} \subset M_{J'}$. Within each 3-manifold, the surfaces intersect pairwise in a circle. The intersections $\hat{\Sigma_1} \cap \hat{\Sigma_2} \cap \hat{\Sigma_3} \subset M_J$ and $\hat{\Sigma_1'} \cap \hat{\Sigma_2'} \cap \hat{\Sigma_3'} \subset M_{J'}$ are each a collection of triple points.

Using the Thom-Pontryagin construction, we define maps $f_1 : M_J \to S^1$, $f_2 : M_J \to S^1$, and $f_3 : M_J \to S^1$ using the following construction. We take a product neighborbood $\hat{\Sigma_i} \times [-1, 1]$, where the $+1$ corresponds to the positive side of $\hat{\Sigma_i}$. We define the map $f_i : \hat{\Sigma_i} \times [-1, 1] \to S^1$ by $(x, t) \mapsto e^{2\pi i t}$, and for $y \in M_j - (\hat{\Sigma_i} \times [-1, 1])$, we define $f_i(y) = -1$. We similarly define maps $f_1' : -M_{J'} \to S^1$, $f_2' : -M_{J'} \to S^1$, and $f_3' : -M_{J'} \to S^1$. Then, we consider the maps $f = f_1 \times f_2 \times f_3 : M_J \to S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ and $f' = f_1' \times f_2' \times f_3' : M_{J'} \to S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$. The maps π_1, π_2, and π_3 are the standard projection maps, so that $f_i = \pi_i \circ f$ and $f_i' = \pi_i \circ f'$.



FIGURE 17. The Thom-Pontryagin Construction on M_J and $-M_{J'}$

The pre-image $f^{-1}((1,1,1)) = \hat{\Sigma_1} \cap \hat{\Sigma_2} \cap \hat{\Sigma_3}$ is a collection of isolated points $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in M_J; the pre-image $f'^{-1}((1,1,1)) = \hat{\Sigma_1}' \cap \hat{\Sigma_2}' \cap \hat{\Sigma_3}'$ is a collection of isolated points $\{p_i'\}_{i=1}^{k'}$ in $M_{J'}$. We also have a framing on the surfaces $\hat{\Sigma_i}$ and $\hat{\Sigma_i'}$ given by the normal direction to the tangent plane $T_x\hat{\Sigma_i}$ at each point $x \in \hat{\Sigma_i}$. Then, for each point $p_i \in f^{-1}((1,1,1))$ and for each point $p_i' \in f'^{-1}((1,1,1))$, we assign a sign of $+1$ if the orientation of p_i (respectively, p_i') induced by the framings on the surfaces agrees with the orientation on M_J (respectively, $-M_{J'}$), and a sign of -1 otherwise. Then, the Milnor's invariant $\bar{\mu}_J(123) = \Sigma_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\epsilon_i}$, where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ is the sign of the point p_i. The Milnor's invariant $\bar{\mu}_{J'}(123) = \Sigma_{i=1}^k (-1)^{\epsilon_i'}$, where $\epsilon_i' = \pm 1$ is the sign of the point p_i'. We wish to show that these two quantities are equal.

The maps $f : M_J \to S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ and $f' : -M_{J'} \to S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ induce maps on first homology, $f_* : H_1(M_J) \to H_1(S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1)$ and $f'_* : H_1(-M_{J'}) \to H_1(S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1)$ that are isomorphisms. As W is a 0-solve equivalence, the induced inclusion maps i_* and j_* are also isomorphisms.



Here, we can define the map $\alpha : H_1(W) \to H_1(S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1)$ because $f_* \circ i_*^{-1} = f'_* \circ j_*^{-1}$, by the Thom-Pontryagin construction and the fact that $i_*(\mu_i) = j_*(\mu'_i)$. Since $\pi_1(S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$ is abelian, we can define the map $\bar{\alpha} : \pi_1(W) \to \pi_1(S^1 \times\ S^1 \times\ S^1)$ as in the following diagram:



We wish to show that there is a map $\bar{f} : W \to S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ such that $\bar{f}|_{\partial W_+} = f$, $\bar{f}|_{\partial W_-} = f'$, and $\bar{f}_* = \bar{\alpha}$.

We have the following commutative diagrams:





Then, knowing that the CW-complex $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ is an Eilenberg-Maclane space $K(\mathbb{Z}^3, 1)$, we can extend the maps f, f' to a map $\bar{f} : W \to S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ such that $\bar{f}|_{\partial W_+} = f$, $\bar{f}|_{\partial W_-} = f'$, and $\bar{f}_* = \bar{\alpha}$.



FIGURE 18. Framed Cobordism between $f^{-1}((1,1,1))$ and $f'^{-1}((1,1,1))$

The 1-manifold $\bar{f}^{-1}((1,1,1))$ in W is a framed cobordism between $f^{-1}((1,1,1))$ and $f'^{-1}((1,1,1))$. This tells us that $\bar{\mu}_J(123) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{\epsilon_i}$ and $\bar{\mu}_{J'}(123) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{\epsilon'_i}$ must be equal.

□

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K'_1 \cup \ldots \cup K'_m$ are two ordered, oriented, m-component, 0-solve equivalent links. Then, $\bar{\mu}_L(iijj) \equiv \bar{\mu}_{L'}(iijj)$ (mod2).*

Proof. Consider the sublinks $J = K_i \cup K_j$ and $J' = K'_i \cup K'_j$ of L and L', respectively. By Proposition **??**, J and J' are 0-solve equivalent links; we wish to show that $\bar{\mu}_J(1122) \equiv \bar{\mu}_{J'}(1122)$ mod 2.

Let W be a 4-manifold realizing the 0-solve equivalence between J and J'. We know that $i_* : H_1(M_J) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(W) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $j_* : H_1(M_{J'}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(W) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ are both isomorphisms. We can choose oriented Seifert surfaces Σ_1, Σ_2 for J_1 and J_2 in $S^3 - J$ such that $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = A \cong S^1$ [4]. Similarly, we choose oriented Seifert surfaces Σ'_1, Σ'_2 for J'_1 and J'_2 in $S^3 - J'$ such that $\Sigma'_1 \cap \Sigma'_2 = A' \cong S^1$.

In performing zero-surgery on the links J and J', we cap off the longitude of each link component with a disk. Considering each disk with our chosen Seifert surface, we have established closed surfaces $\hat{\Sigma_1}, \hat{\Sigma_2} \subset M_J$ and $\hat{\Sigma_1'}, \hat{\Sigma_2'} \subset M_{J'}$. By capping off the Seifert surfaces, we have not changed their intersections.

Using the Thom-Pontryagin construction, we define maps $f_1 : M_J \to S^1$ and $f_2 : M_J \to S^1$. We take a product neighborhood $\hat{\Sigma}_i \times [-1, 1]$, where $+1$ corresponds to the positive side of $\hat{\Sigma}_i$. We define $f_i : \hat{\Sigma}_i \times [-1, 1] \to S^1$ by $(x, t) \mapsto e^{2\pi i t}$, and for $y \in M_J - (\hat{\Sigma}_i \times [-1, 1])$, we define $f_i(y) = -1$. We similarly define maps $f_1' : -M_{J'} \to S^1$ and $f_2' : M_{J'} \to S^1$. We then consider the maps $f = f_1 \times f_2 : M_J \to S^1 \times S^1$ and $f' = f_1' \times f_2' : M_{J'} \to S^1 \times S^1$. The maps $\pi_1, \pi_2 : S^1 \times S^1 \to S^1$ are the standard projection maps, such that $\pi_i \circ f = f_i$.



FIGURE 19. The Thom-Pontryagin Construction on M_J and $-M_{J'}$

The maps $f : M_J \to S^1 \times S^1$ and $f' : -M_{J'} \to S^1 \times S^1$ induce maps on first homology, $f_* : H_1(M_J) \to H_1(S^1 \times S^1)$ and $f_*' : H_1(-M_{J'}) \to H_1(S^1 \times S^1)$ that are isomorphisms. As W is a 0-solve equivalence, the induced inclusion maps i_* and j_* are also isomorphisms.



Here, we can define the map $\alpha : H_1(W) \to H_1(S^1 \times S^1)$ because $f_* \circ i_*^{-1} = f_*' \circ j_*^{-1}$, by the Thom-Pontryagin construction and the fact that $i_*(\mu_i) = j_*(\mu_i')$. Since $\pi_1(S^1 \times S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ is abelian, we can define the map $\bar{\alpha} : \pi_1(W) \to \pi_1(S^1 \times \ S^1)$ as in the following diagram:



We wish to show that there is a map $\bar{f} : W \to S^1 \times \ S^1$ such that $\bar{f}|_{\partial W_+} = f$, $\bar{f}|_{\partial W_-} = f'$, and $\bar{f}_* = \bar{\alpha}$.

We have the following commutative diagrams:





Then, knowing that the CW-complex $S^1 \times S^1$ is an Eilenberg-Maclane space $K(\mathbb{Z}^2, 1)$, we can extend the maps f, f' to a map $\bar{f} : W \to S^1 \times S^1$ such that $\bar{f}|_{\partial W_+} = f$, $\bar{f}|_{\partial W_-} = f'$, and $\bar{f}_* = \bar{\alpha}$.

The pre-image $\bar{f}^{-1}((1,1))$ is a surface in W with boundary $A \bigsqcup -A'$ in ∂W. In $S^1 \times S^1$, we consider D_p, an ϵ- neighborhood of the point $p = (1,1)$. Let $q = (e^{2\pi i\epsilon}, 1)$ be a point on the boundary of D_p. Then, $\bar{f}^{-1}(q)$ is a surface in W with boundary $B \bigsqcup -B'$, where B is just the curve A pushed off in the positive direction of $\hat{\Sigma}_1$ and B' is the curve A' pushed off in the positive direction of $\hat{\Sigma}'_1$. Furthermore, these surfaces $\bar{f}^{-1}(p)$ and $\bar{f}^{-1}(q)$ are disjoint in W, and if we consider a path $\gamma(t) = (e^{2\pi i\epsilon t}; 1), t \in [0,1]$, the pre-image $\bar{f}^{-1}(\gamma(t))$ is a 3-submanifold of W that is cobounded by the surfaces $\bar{f}^{-1}(p)$ and $\bar{f}^{-1}(q)$.



FIGURE 20. Surface pre-images under the map $\bar{f}$

The Sato-Levine invariants of J and J', are the quantities $\bar{\mu}_J(1122) = lk(A, B)$ and $\bar{\mu}_{J'}(1122) = lk(A', B')$. Therefore, we wish to show that $lk(A, B) \equiv lk(A', B')$ (mod2).

To show this, we construct the closure $\hat{W}$ from W by first attaching 0-framed 2-handles to the meridians μ_1 and μ_2 of link J and to meridians μ_1' and μ_2' of link J' and then attaching a 4-handle $\mathcal{B}^4$ to the S^3 boundary component and a 0-handle $\mathcal{B}^4$ to the $-S^3$ boundary component.

The curves A and B, when thought of in the boundary of the 4-manifold $W \cup_{\mu_1} (\mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{D}^2) \cup_{\mu_2} (\mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{D}^2)$, each bound surfaces in the 4-handle $\mathcal{B}^4$. We call these surfaces S and T. Similarly, the curves A' and B', when thought of in the boundary of the 4-manifold $W \cup_{\mu_1'} (\mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{D}^2) \cup_{\mu_2'} (\mathbb{D}^2 \times \mathbb{D}^2)$, each bound surfaces in the 0-handle $\mathcal{B}^4$. We call these surfaces S' and T'. Noting that $\bar{\mu}_J(1122) = lk(A, B) = S \cdot T$ and $\bar{\mu}_{J'}(1122) = lk(A', B') = S' \cdot T'$, we observe that we wish to show that $S \cdot T + S' \cdot T' \equiv 0$ (mod2). We may also form closed surfaces in $\hat{W}$ by letting $\hat{S} = S \cup_A \bar{f}^{-1}(p) \cup_{A'} S'$ and $\hat{T} = T \cup_B \bar{f}^{-1}(q) \cup_{B'} T'$ as pictured in figure 21. Because $\bar{f}^{-1}(p) \cap \bar{f}^{-1}(q) = \emptyset$, the problem reduces to showing that $\hat{S} \cdot \hat{T} \equiv 0$ (mod2).

We observe that the surface $S \cup_A f^{-1}(\gamma(t)) \cup_B T \subset \mathcal{B}^4$ is a closed surface, and therefore is the boundary of a 3-chain. Similarly, the surface $S' \cup_{A'} f'^{-1}(\gamma(t)) \cup_{B'} T' \subset \mathcal{B}^4$ is a closed surface, and therefore is the boundary of a 3-chain. Pieceing together these 3-chains, we see that $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{T}$ cobound a 3-chain, and so the homology classes $[\hat{S}] \in H_2(\hat{W}) = [\hat{T}] \in H_2(\hat{W})$ are equal. Finally, we recall that, as $\hat{W}$ is the closure of a 0-solve equivalence W, we require that $\hat{W}$ be spin, or equivalently, that the intersection form $Q_{\hat{W}}$ be even. Thus, we have that $\hat{S} \cdot \hat{T} = Q_{\hat{W}}([\hat{S}], [\hat{T}]) = Q_{\hat{W}}([\hat{S}], [\hat{S}])$ is even. This tells us that $\bar{\mu}_J(1122) \cong \bar{\mu}_{J'}(1122)$ (mod2). □

Finally, we conclude the proof of Theorem 1 by showing the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *If $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K_1' \cup \ldots \cup K_m'$ are two ordered, oriented, m-component links such that*

(1) *Arf$(K_i) =$ Arf(K_i'),*
(2) *$\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$,*



FIGURE 21. Surfaces $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{T}$ in $\hat{W}$

(3) $\bar{\mu}_L(iijj) \equiv \bar{\mu}_{L'}(iijj) (\text{mod} 2)$,

then L and L' are band-pass equivalent.

Proof. We will use the result and proof of the following theorem due to Taniyama and Yasuhara [21].

Theorem 2. [Taniyama-Yasuhara] [21] *Let $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K'_1 \cup \ldots \cup K'_m$ be ordered, oriented, m-component links. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *L and L' are clasp-pass equivalent links,*
(2) $a_2(K_i) = a_2(K'_i)$
$a_3(K_i \cup K_j) \equiv a_3(K'_i \cup K'_j)$ (mod2)
and $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$,
(3) $a_2(K_i) = a_2(K'_i)$
$\text{Arf}(K_i \cup K_j) = \text{Arf}(K'_i \cup K'_j)$
and $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$.

Here, a_j indicates the j^{th} coefficient of the Conway polynomial, as defined in [22]. Taniyama and Yasuhara prove the direction (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). In the following proposition, we show that the three assumptions in Lemma 5, $\text{Arf}(K_i) = \text{Arf}(K'_i)$, $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$, and $\bar{\mu}_L(iijj) \equiv \bar{\mu}_{L'}(iijj)(\text{ mod } 2)$, are very similar to Taniyama

and Yasuhara's assumptions that $a_2(K_i) = a_2(K_i')$, $a_3(K_i \cup K_j) \equiv a_3(K_i' \cup K_j')$ (mod2), and $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$.

Proposition 4. *Let $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K_1' \cup \ldots \cup K_m'$ be ordered, oriented, m-component links. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $a_2(K_i) \equiv a_2(K_i')$ (mod2)
$a_3(K_i \cup K_j) \equiv a_3(K_i' \cup K_j')$ (mod2)
$\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$,
(2) $Arf(K_i) = Arf(K_i')$,
$\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$,
$\bar{\mu}_L(iijj) \equiv \bar{\mu}_{L'}(iijj)$(mod2).

Proof. Again, here a_j refers to the j^{th} coefficient of the Conway polynomial of a link, $\Delta_L(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \ldots$, as defined in [22]. Thus, a_j refers to the coefficient of the term z^j in the Conway polynomial.

In [14], Kauffman shows that knots K_i and K_i' are band-pass equivalent $\Leftrightarrow$ $a_2(K_i) \equiv a_2(K_i')$(mod2) $\Leftrightarrow$ $Arf(K_i) = Arf(K_i')$.

In [3], Cochran writes the general Conway polynomial of a link L as $\Delta_L(z) = z^{m-1}(b_0 + b_2 z^2 + \cdots + b_{2n} z^{2n})$ where m is the number of components of L. The condition on links L and L' that $a_3(K_i \cup K_j) \equiv a_3(K_i' \cup K_j')$ (mod2) says that, for every 2-component sublink $J = K_i \cup K_j$ of L and $J' = K_i' \cup K_j'$ of L', the coefficient on the cubic term of $\Delta_J(z)$ is equivalent (mod 2) to the cubic term of $\Delta_{J'}(z)$. Using Cochran's notation for a 2-component link, $\Delta_J(z) = z(b_0 + b_2 z^2 + \cdots + b_{2n} z^{2n})$. Therefore, the cubic term of the Conway polynomial has coefficient b_2, so $a_3(J) = b_2(J)$ and $a_3(J') = b_2(J')$. Then Corollary 4.2 in [3] gives that, for a 2-component link J, the coefficient $b_0(J) = -\bar{\mu}_J(12)$, and if $b_0(J) = 0$, then $b_2(J) = \bar{\mu}_J(1122)$. Since we are assuming that all pairwise linking numbers of L and L' vanish, we have that $\bar{\mu}_J(1122) = b_2(J) = a_3(J)$ and $\bar{\mu}_{J'}(1122) = b_2(J') = a_3(J')$. Thus, the condition that $a_3(K_i \cup K_j) \equiv a_3(K_i' \cup K_j')$ (mod2) is equivalent to the condition that $\bar{\mu}_L(iijj) \equiv \bar{\mu}_{L'}(iijj)$(mod2). □

To complete the proof of Lemma 5, we adapt Taniyama and Yasuhara's proof to show the following proposition.

Proposition 5. *For two ordered, oriented, m-component links $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ and $L' = K_1' \cup \ldots \cup K_m'$, if*

(1) $a_2(K_i) \equiv a_2(K_i') \bmod 2$,
(2) $a_3(K_i \cup K_j) \equiv a_3(K_i' \cup K_j') \bmod 2$, *and*
(3) $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$,

then L and L' are band-pass equivalent.

Proof. Given that all pairwise linking numbers of L and L' vanish, Taniyama and Yasuhara show that L and L' are both delta-equivalent to the m-component unlink U^m, and therefore, that L and L' can both be obtained from U^m by inserting a sequence of Borromean rings, as pictured in the following skein relation.

We note that the feet of the Borromean rings insertion can attach to any part of the link. In this way, a Borromean rings insertion may involve one, two, or three different link components.

Definition 6. [Taniyama-Yasuhara]



FIGURE 22. A Borromean Rings Insertion



FIGURE 23. Borromean Chord

A *Borromean chord* C is a neighborhood of a 3-ball containing a Borromean insertion and a neighborhood of its attaching bands.

We say that a chord C is of *type (i)* if each of the bands in the Borromean ring insertion attach to the i^{th} link component. C is of *type (ij)* if the bands attach to the i^{th} and j^{th} components, and C is of *type (ijk)* if the bands attach to the i^{th}, j^{th}, and k^{th} link components.

In [21] Lemma 2.5, Taniyama and Yasuhara show that any ordered, oriented, m-component link L is clasp-pass equivalent to an ordered, oriented, m-component link J, where J is formed from U^m by Borromean ring insertion, where J is of the following form.

(1) Each Borromean chord of J of type (ijk) is contained in a 3-ball as illustrated in (a) or (b), and for each set of components i, j, k, not both (a) and (b) occur.
(2) Each Borromean chord of J of type (ij) is contained in a 3-ball as illustrated in (c).

Furthermore, Taniyama and Yasuhara show in Lemma 2.5 that any two Borromean chords of type (ij) cancel each other [21]. Therefore, for each



FIGURE 24. Borromean chords of type (ijk).



FIGURE 25. Borromean chords of type (ij)

$i < j \leq m$, we may have at most one Borromean chord of type (ij) as in (c).

(3) Each Borromean chord of J of type (i) is contained in a 3-ball as illustrated in (e) or (f), and for each component, not both (e) and (f) occur.



FIGURE 26. Borromean chords of type (i)

Therefore, let link L be clasp-pass equivalent to a link J of the above form, and let link L' be clasp-pass equivalent to a link J' of the above form. Using our assumptions on link L and L', we claim that J and J' are band-pass equivalent.

By calculation, $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk)$ is the signed number of Borromean chords of type (ijk), with sign $+1$ for chords as in figure (a) and sign -1 for chords as in figure (b). Given that $\bar{\mu}_L(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{L'}(ijk)$, we know that link J and link J' have identical Borromean chords of type (ijk).

Cited by Taniyama and Yasuhara [21] and due to a result of Hoste [13], for a link $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$, $a_3(K_i \cup K_j) \equiv 0(\text{mod}2)$ if and only if there are an even number of Borromean chords of type (ij). Therefore, as we are assuming that $a_3(K_i \cup K_j) \equiv a_3(K_i' \cup K_j')\ (\text{mod}\, 2)$, we know that for every choice of i and j, both J and J' have either one or zero Borromean chords of type (ij).

Links L and J are clasp-pass equivalent, and links L' and J' are clasp-pass equivalent. The coefficient a_2 is preserved under clasp-pass equivalence [21]. In figure 26, the closure of (e) is a trefoil knot, and the closure of (f) is the figure eight knot. The invariants $a_2(\text{trefoil}) = 1$ and $a_2(\text{figure eight}) = -1$. As the coefficient a_2 is additive under the connected sum of knots, the number of Borromean chords of type (i) in link J is given by $|a_2(K_i)|$, and the number of Borromean chords of type (i) in link J' is given by $|a_2(K_i')|$.

Since $a_2(\text{trefoil}) \equiv a_2(\text{figure eight})$ (mod2), (e) and (f) are band-pass equivalent [14]. Therefore, we may assume that all Borromean chords of both J and J' of type (i) are as illustrated in figure 26 (e). By enforcing the condition that $a_2(K_i) \equiv a_2(K_i')$ mod 2, we are assuming that links J and J' have the same parity of Borromean chords of type (i), all as in figure 26 (e). In Lemma 2.5, Taniyama and Yasuhara show that any two such Borromean chords will cancel (see in particular, [21] Figure 15). Therefore, for each i, we may assume that J and J' both have either one or zero Borromean chords of type (i).

Therefore, we see that links J and J' are band-pass equivalent. We have that $L \sim_{\text{Clasp-Pass}} J \sim_{\text{Band-Pass}} J' \sim_{\text{Clasp-Pass}} L'$. Recalling that a clasp-pass move is also a band-pass move, we conclude that L and L' are band-pass equivalent. □

□

5. Applications of the Main Theorem

As a direct result of Theorem 1, the 0-solve equivalence class of a link $L = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_m$ is characterized by the three algebraic invariants, $\text{Arf}(K_i), \bar{\mu}_L(ijk)$, and $\bar{\mu}_L(iijj)$.

Corollary 2. *The set $\mathbb{L}_0^m = \mathcal{L}^m/\sim_0$ forms an abelian group under band sum such that, for each m, the natural map $\frac{\mathcal{F}_{-0.5}^m}{\mathcal{F}_0^m} \to \mathbb{L}_0^m$ induces an isomorphism, and*

$$\mathbb{L}_0^m \cong \frac{\mathcal{F}_{-0.5}^m}{\mathcal{F}_0^m} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{m}{3}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{\binom{m}{2}}$$

Proof. Consider the following as a diagram of sets:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5} & \xrightarrow{\wedge} & \mathcal{L}^m \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{mod } \sim_0} \\ \dfrac{\mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5}}{\mathcal{F}^m_0} & \xrightarrow{\wedge} & \mathbb{L}^m_0 \end{array}$$

Here, π is the standard quotient map and $\wedge$ is the map that takes a string link to its closure. We will show that two string links A and B are equivalent in $\frac{\mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5}}{\mathcal{F}^m_0}$ if and only if their closures $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ belong to the same 0-solve equivalence class in $\mathbb{L}^m_0$.

Suppose that $[A] = [B]$ in $\frac{\mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5}}{\mathcal{F}^m_0}$. Thus, $B = S \cdot A$ for some 0-solvable string link $S \in \mathcal{F}^m_0$ whose closure $\hat{S}$ is a 0-solvable link. We show that $\hat{A}$ and $\widehat{S \cdot A}$ are 0-solve equivalent links. First, the Arf invariant is additive, and each component of $\hat{S}$ has Arf invariant zero, so the components of $\hat{A}$ and $\widehat{S \cdot A}$ have the same Arf invariants. Then, because all pairwise linking numbers of $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ vanish, Milnor's triple linking numbers $\bar{\mu}_{\widehat{S \cdot A}}(ijk)$ are well-defined and additive, so $\bar{\mu}_{\hat{B}}(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{\widehat{S \cdot A}}(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{\hat{S}}(ijk) + \bar{\mu}_{\hat{A}}(ijk) = \bar{\mu}_{\hat{A}}(ijk)$. Finally, the values of $\bar{\mu}_{\widehat{S \cdot A}}(iijj)$ are determined by the 2-component ij^{th} sublinks of $\widehat{S \cdot A}$. As two component links have no $\bar{\mu}(ink)$, and the linking number of these two component sublinks vanish, the first nonvanishing Milnor's invariants, $\bar{\mu}(iijj)$ for each 2-component sublink of $\widehat{S \cdot A}$, are well-defined and additive. Therefore, since $\bar{\mu}_{\hat{S}}(iijj) \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, we have that $\bar{\mu}_{\hat{B}}(iijj) = \bar{\mu}_{\widehat{S \cdot A}}(iijj) \equiv \bar{\mu}_{\hat{A}}(iijj) \bmod 2$. Thus, $\hat{B} = \widehat{S \cdot A}$ and $\hat{A}$ are 0-solve equivalent links.

Similarly, we know that if links $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ are 0-solve equivalent, their components have equal Arf invariants, each choice of corresponding 3-component sublinks have equal $\bar{\mu}(123)$, and each choice of corresponding 2-component sublinks have $\bar{\mu}(1122)$ congruent mod 2. Using the additivity of these invariants as described above, we see that, for each component K_i of the link $\widehat{AB^{-1}}$, $\text{Arf}(K_i) = 0$, for each 3-component sublink $J = K_i \cup K_j \cup K_k$ of $\widehat{AB^{-1}}$, $\bar{\mu}_J(123) = 0$, and for each 2-component sublink $N = K_i \cup K_j$ of $\widehat{AB^{-1}}$, $\bar{\mu}_N(1122)$ is even. Therefore, the link $\widehat{AB^{-1}}$ is 0-solvable, so the string link AB^{-1} is 0-solvable. Thus, the string links A and B are equivalent in $\frac{\mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5}}{\mathcal{F}^m_0}$. This gives us a bijection between $\frac{\mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5}}{\mathcal{F}^m_0}$ and $\mathbb{L}^m_0$.

Recall that band sum of knots is well defined, while band sum of ordered links is not. However, as a result of Theorem 1, band sum of links in $\mathcal{L}^m$ is well-defined up to band pass moves. Therefore, the band sum operation is well defined on $\mathbb{L}^m_0$. With this operation, we see that the map $\wedge : \mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5}/\mathcal{F}^m_0 \to \mathbb{L}^m_0$ is a homomorphism, and thus an isomorphism.

Finally, we define a map $\psi : \mathcal{F}^m_{-0.5} \to \mathbb{Z}_2^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{m}{3}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{\binom{m}{2}}$ on an m-component string link L with link components $K_1, \ldots, K_m$ by

$$\psi(L) = (a_1, \ldots, a_m, b_1, \ldots, b_{\binom{m}{3}}, c_1, \ldots, c_{\binom{m}{2}}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{m}{3}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{\binom{m}{2}}$$

where $a_i = \text{Arf}(K_i)$, $b_k = \bar{\mu}_{J_k}(123)$ for J_k the k^{th} lexicographic three component sub link of L, and $c_n = \bar{\mu}_{T_n}(1122)$ for T_n the n^{th} lexicographic two component sub

link of L. Thus, ψ is surjective with $ker(\psi) = \mathcal{F}_0^m$, and the additivity of invariants shows that ψ is a homomorphism. Therefore, $\frac{\mathcal{F}_{-0.5}^m}{\mathcal{F}_0^m} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{m}{3}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{\binom{m}{2}}$.

□

We can use this corollary to choose representatives for each 0-solve equivalence class of m-component links. We note that the trefoil knot has Arf invariant 1. The Whitehead link has $\bar{\mu}_L(1122) = 1$. The Borromean rings have $\bar{\mu}_L(123) = \pm 1$. These links are pictured in figure 27.



FIGURE 27. Trefoil, Whitehead Link, and Borromean Rings

For each element $(a_1, \ldots, a_m, b_1, \ldots, b_{\binom{m}{3}}, c_1, \ldots, c_{\binom{m}{2}}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\binom{m}{3}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{\binom{m}{2}}$, we choose a link representative $J = J_1 \cup \cdots \cup J_m$ in the following way. The i^{th} component of the representative will be either the unknot or the trefoil knot, according to if a_i is 0 or 1. We order the triples of components (J_i, J_j, J_k) lexicographically. For the i^{th} triple (J_i, J_j, J_k), link components, we insert $|c_i|$ Borromean rings with sign corresponding to the sign of c_i. We also order the pairs of components (J_i, J_j) lexicographically. For the i^{th} pair (J_i, J_j), components J_i and J_j form an unlink or a Whitehead link according to if c_i is 0 or 1.

For example, when $m = 2$, we have an eight element group, $\mathcal{C}^2/\mathcal{F}_0^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, which are represented by the eight two-component links in figure 28.



FIGURE 28. 2-component links up to 0-solve equivalence

The figure 29 shows the 3-component link representing $(0,0,0,1,1,1,1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^3$.



FIGURE 29. Example: A 3-component 0-solve equivalence class

Theorem 1 has a direct application to the study of *gropes* and *Whitney towers*. Gropes and Whitney towers are geometric objects that play an important role in the study of 4-manifolds. Results due to Conant, Schneiderman, and Teichner will expand the statement of Theorem 1.

A *grope* is an oriented 2-complex created from joining oriented surfaces together in a prescribed way. Gropes have a natural complexity known as a *class*. We give a definition from [19].

Definition 7. A *grope* is a pair (2-complex, S^1) with a *class* $\in \mathbb{N}$. A class 1 grope is defined to be the pair (S^1, S^1). A class 2 grope $(S, \partial S)$ is a compact oriented connected surface S with a single boundary component. For $n > 2$, a class n grope is defined recursively. Let $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}_{i=1}^g$ be a symplectic basis for a class 2-grope S. For any $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_1 + b_1 = n$ and $a_i + b_i \geq n$, a class n grope is formed by attaching a class a_i grope to α_i and a class b_i grope to β_i.



FIGURE 30. A grope of class 4

We will consider gropes in $\mathcal{B}^4$ with the boundary of the grope embedded in the $S^3 = \partial\mathcal{B}^4$. Therefore, the boundary of a grope will be a knot. We may then consider m disjointly embedded gropes, which together will bound an m-component link.

One reason that 4-manifolds are not well understood is that the *Whitney move* to eliminate intersections of immersed surfaces fails in four dimensions. To perform a Whitney move, we find a *Whitney disk* $W_{(I,J)}$ that pairs intersection points of two surface sheets I and J in a 4-manifold. We then change one surface, using $W_{(I,J)}$ as a guide. This is pictured in figure 31. In 4-dimensions, we can eliminate the intersection between sheets I and J, but we do so at the cost of possibly creating a new canceling pair of intersections, here between surface sheets I and K. We may then look for another Whitney disk, $W_{(I,K)}$ to eliminate the new intersection. For more detailed definitions and background on this subject, see [9].



FIGURE 31. A Whitney disk and Whitney move

We give the following definition from [19]. An example of a Whitney tower is given in figure 32.

Definition 8.
- A *surface of order 0* in a 4-manifold X is a properly immersed surface. A *Whitney tower of order 0* in X is a collection of order 0 surfaces.
- The *order of a transverse intersection point* between a surface of order n and a surface of order m is $n + m$.
- A Whitney disk that pairs intersection points of order n is said to be a *Whitney disk of order* $(n + 1)$.
- For $n \geq 0$, a *Whitney tower of order* $(n+1)$ is a Whitney tower W of order n together with Whitney disks pairing all order n intersection points of W. The interiors of these top order disks are allowed to intersect each other as well as allowed to intersect lower order surfaces.

We will consider Whitney towers in the 4-manifold $\mathcal{B}^4$. The sheets in our surfaces will all be disks, and we may then consider the boundaries of these disks in $\partial\mathcal{B}^4 = S^3$ to be links in S^3.

Schneiderman relates curves bounding gropes to curves supporting Whitney towers in the following theorem [19].



FIGURE 32. A Whitney tower

Theorem 3. [Schneiderman][19]
For any collection of embedded closed curves γ_i in the boundary of $\mathcal{B}^4$, the following are equivalent:

(1) *$\{\gamma_i\}$ bound disjoint properly embedded class n gropes g_i in $\mathcal{B}^4$.*
(2) *$\{\gamma_i\}$ bound properly immersed 2-disks $\mathbb{D}_i$ admitting an order $(n-1)$ Whitney tower W in $\mathcal{B}^4$.*

The following theorem, due to Conant, Schneiderman, and Teichner, relates Whitney towers to the algebraic link invariants used in Theorem 1 [8].

Theorem 4. [Conant-Schneiderman-Teichner][8]
A link L bounds a Whitney tower $\mathcal{W}$ of order n if and only if its Milnor invariants, Sato-Levine invariants, and Arf invariants vanish up to order n.

We may then combine these results with Theorem 1 to obtain the following result.

Corollary 3. *For an ordered, oriented, m-component link L, the following are equivalent.*

(1) *L is 0-solvable.*
(2) *L bounds disjoint, properly embedded gropes of class 2 in $\mathcal{B}^4$.*
(3) *L bounds properly immersed disks admitting an order 2 Whitney tower in $\mathcal{B}^4$.*

REFERENCES

[1] T A. Casson. *Link cobordism and Milnor's invariant*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 7 (1975) 39-40.
[2] J. Cha. *Link concordance, homology cobordism, and Hirzebruch-type intersection form defects from iterated p-covers.* J. Eur. Math. Soc. 12 (2010), 555-610.
[3] T. Cochran, *Concordance invariance of coefficients of Conway's link polynomial,* Invent. math. 82 (1985) 527-541.
[4] T. Cochran. *Derivatives of links: Milnor's concordance invariants and Massey's products.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.
[5] T. Cochran and S. Harvey. *Homology and derived series of groups II: Dwyer's theorem.* Geom. Topol., 12 (2008), 199-232.
[6] T. Cochran, S. Harvey, and C. Leidy. *Knot concordance and higher-order Blanchfield duality.* Geom. Topol., 13 (2009), 1419-1482.
[7] T. Cochran, K. Orr, and P. Teichner. *Knot concordance, Whitney towers, and L^2-signatures.* Ann. of Math (2), 157 (2003), 433-519.

[8] J. Conant, R. Schneiderman, and P. Teichner. *Higher-order intersections in low-dimensional topology.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 108 (2011), 8131-8138.
[9] M. Freedman and F. Quinn. *The topology of 4-manifolds,* Princeton Math. Series 39, Princeton, NY, 1990.
[10] N. Habegger and X. Lin. *On link concordance and Milnor's $\bar{\mu}$ invariants*, Bull. London Math. Soc. (1998) 419-428.
[11] N. Habegger and X. Lin. *The classification of links up to link-homotopy*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990) 389-419.
[12] S. Harvey. *Homology cobordism invariants and the Cochran-Orr-Teichner filtration of the link concordance group.* Geom. Topol., 12 (2008), 387-430.
[13] J. Hoste. *The first coefficient of the Conway polynomial*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1985) 299-302.
[14] L. Kauffman, *On Knots,* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.
[15] J. LeDimet. *Cobordisme d'enlacement de disques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 116 (1988).
[16] J. Milnor. *Link groups.* Ann. of Math. (2), 59 (1954), 177-195.
[17] J. Milnor, *Isotopy of links,* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1957.
[18] C. Otto, *The (n)-solvable filtration of link concordance and Milnor's invariants.* Algebr. Geom. Topol., 14 (2014), 2627-2654.
[19] R. Schneiderman. *Whitney towers and gropes in 4-manifolds.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), 4251-4278.
[20] A. Scorpan, *The Wild World of 4-Manifolds*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2005.
[21] K. Taniyama, A. Yasuhara, *Clasp-pass moves on knots, links, and spatial graphs,* Topology Appl., Volume 122 (2002) 501 - 529.
[22] Y.Q. Wu, *On the Arf invariant of links,* Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 100 (1986) 335-359.

Department of Mathematics, Sam Houston State University Huntsville, TX 77340
E-mail address: taylor.martin@shsu.edu